IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

No. 627 EDA 2019

Eastern District

Amro Elansari Appellant vs Best Buy, Inc. and Dell Inc. Appellees

REPLY TO DELL

1. The representations made by Edward J. Murphy, representative for Dell, have been pure fraudulent

- Best Buy indicated that there was a 1 year manufacturer warranty on the computer at the time of purchase implicating Dell -
- Attorney Murphy has represented that Dell has nothing to do with the transaction in an attempt to swindle this court into a decision in their favor
- Attorney Murphy mentions facts, the Appellants suspension from law school currently being challenged in the Third Circuit, unethically
- Attorney Murphy's defense for dell is not
 - Dell / Best Buy did nothing wrong -
- But rather, Attorney Murphy baldly states -
 - The Appellant, a non lawyer, having not prepared the paperwork associated with the specifics in this case in the perfect lawyer way, that Best Buy and Dell should be exempt from the crimes and scams they have been pulling on the people
- And fraudulently cites in his brief -
 - No evidence has been presented
- When in fact evidence has been presented of
 - A \$380 In Store Ad Febuary Scammed Plaintiff \$500 Buy
 - Lawsuit June 2018 COMPUTER IS BROKEN (not bait / switch)

- FURTHER INVESTIGATION REVEALS SAME AD JULY 2018
- BEING RUN
- THIS WHOLE TIME
- THERE WAS NEVER ANY COMPUTER
- THERE WAS NEVER ANY COMPUTER
- THERE WAS NEVER EVER ANY \$380 COMPUTER
- THEY'RE SCAMMERS
- THEY'RE CRIMINALS TRYING TO DECIEVE PEOPLE OUT OF MONEY TO ENRICH THEMSELVES
- AND EDWARD J. MURPHY IS HELPING THEM IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY
- AND HE HAS NO RIGHT TO BRING MATTERS OUTSIDE OF HIS LAWSUIT WITH DELL AND BEST BUY BEING SUED FOR BAIT AND SWITCH / UNJUST ENRICHMENT / ALL OF THE OTHER SCHEMES AND SCAMS THEY'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN

AND THIS CASE IS NOT ABOUT BEST BUY AND DELL

IT'S ABOUT THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA COURT SYSTEM

AND HOW IT MAKES IT SO HARD FOR THE AVERAGE INDIVIDUAL TO ACCESS

EVEN WHEN THEY ARE TRYING TO DO A FAVOR AND POINT OUT A BLATANT SCAM

It's a violation of the substantive due process rights of the citizen guaranteed to the under the 14th amendment of the U.S. Constitution

To press them on the little points - when they are trying to make a good faith effort to present a case

And more than enough evidence has been presented here - on paper - showing these people are scammers - fraudulent scammers - scheming on people

The brief is outlined accordingly - the complaint is presented accordingly

These judges are just making excuses to get these people out of the straight up fraud and corruption they're involved in

It seems they're all just one big corrupt conspiracy scheme of just helping one another out and no one here has any integrity

And this court doesn't have the most perfect record either when I came to them in need with *a Pennsylvania Medical Cannabis Card* in October 2018

Facing an unlawful eviction based on said Pennsylvania Medical Cannabis Card

And facing a criminal trial the year before in October 2016

Selling weed because someone called me and told me they needed it in 2015

"Oh - this brief (although formated section by section - see dissenting opinion from your own court) - isn't tip tip tip tip tip tip top notch to my liking" -- 2016

"So were just going to look away at all of the social injustice taking place here"

And Justice Panella - in the Bloomsburg Joint Case - 2015/2016

"You should lecture my Constitutional Law class"

"Opinion - Denied - ignore social justice issues - make people sit in jail for having a plant that doesn't harm people while others get drunk on liquor and smoke tobacco killing 400,000 Americans per year - take away the liberty of Americans to make a simple decision for themselves irrationally - without any due reason - and in fact ignoring reason and ration - in other words - knowingly making a mistaken representation of a material fact - that pot is a substance that has no accepted medicinal value in the U.S. (which it did at the time in many states) and no accepted method of control / regulation (which it did at the time in many states)

WHICH SHOWS YOU ARE ALL FRAUDS

FRAUDS

THIS ENTIRE COURT

EVERYONE

ALL OF YOU ARE FRAUDS

YOU ARE ALL FRAUDS

AND YOU HAVE LET THE PEOPLE DOWN

YOU ARE ALL A LIVING EMBODIMENTS OF DISRESPECTS TO THE AMERICAN FLAG

YOUR LACK OF INTEGRITY IS TO YOUR OWN NAME TO BEAR

Let the history in writing speak for itself.

All of the people that suffered over the past few years - that could have been healthier and better but because of you - ...

All of that suffering is on you to bear.

The amount sued for is not \$29 billion or \$119 billion -

In the Amended Complaint - it is supposed to be for whatever the Jury deems fair -

And what is argued for is everything they have -

For their lack of integrity and disrespect to the American Flag

Best Buy says go to Dell - 1 year warranty - Dell says computer is owned by Best Buy - All just a big corporate run around scheme

And we just expect the average person to put up the costs and efforts of litigation *and litigate it as perfectly as an attorney* -

This isn't even my area of practice - I'm just trying to help out here.

Respectfully Submitted,

July 4, 2019

Amro Elansari Liberty And Justice For All